

Preface

OVERVIEW

In today's complex society, mathematical literacy is a necessary cornerstone in schools, the workplace, and everyday life. Mathematical literacy involves more than the ability to execute a procedure. It involves a deep conceptual knowledge of mathematics and an ability to apply this knowledge in a variety of contexts. Mathematical literacy is for everyone—all students and all adults. To achieve the level of mathematical literacy that will provide students with the confidence and competence they need to be productive citizens, teachers' knowledge of mathematics and effective strategies to teach it are pivotal. Teachers must be given the tools and support they need to understand the knowledge base of mathematics that underpins the standards, the research that contributes to an understanding of how students perceive and learn mathematics, and ways to translate theory into practice.

Achieving mathematical literacy for the diverse students who make up today's classrooms is facilitated when teachers have a strong interest and desire to acquire an extensive professional knowledge base. Coupled with this desire, teachers need new tools and processes to help them access and apply this professional knowledge base in their practice. *Mathematics Curriculum Topic Study: Bridging the Gap Between Standards and Practice* provides such tools, processes, and applications.

The Curriculum Topic Study (CTS) approach was adapted from the experiences of co-author Page Keeley in working with the American Association for the Advancement of Science's (AAAS) Project 2061's procedure for the study of a single benchmark. That procedure involves a rigorous analysis of a benchmark's key ideas and the associated research, and it uses Project 2061's resources, including *Science for All Americans*, *Benchmarks for Science Literacy*, and *Atlas of Science Literacy*, all of which include mathematics, science, social science, and technology, under the umbrella of *science literacy*. The Project 2061 study procedure was modified and expanded for the CTS Project to create a set of topic study guides with preselected readings linked to a specific purpose used in a process called Curriculum Topic Study (CTS). CTS focuses on topics instead of a single learning goal, and it includes additional reference materials such as the *Principles and Standards for School Mathematics* (National Council of Teachers of Mathematics [NCTM], 2000), a sourcebook for cognitive research, *The Research Companion to Principles and Standards for School Mathematics* (NCTM, 2003), and an adult mathematics content trade book, *Beyond Numeracy* (Paulos, 1992), authored by a mathematician.

Mathematics Curriculum Topic Study draws on and extends the outstanding work of AAAS/Project 2061 and NCTM's national mathematics education standards

development and distribution by building a bridge between the development and dissemination of standards and their purposeful use in the classroom. It is an example of how professional developers have been able to draw on the research and development work done by AAAS and the NCTM and connect it to the immediate needs of teachers and professional developers. CTS draws on the current knowledge base regarding effective professional development for mathematics teachers—addressing the need for greater focus on content, standards, and research in how students learn.

In using CTS, teachers actively seek information from standards and research to provide all students the opportunity to learn and enjoy mathematics. They see the standards and research as providing a wealth of information from highly respected individuals in the mathematics education community and capitalize on the knowledge and expertise in ways that improve the teaching and learning of mathematics.

We have facilitated educators' use of Curriculum Topic Study's practical tools and processes in a variety of contexts and schools and with teachers at various levels of experience in teaching. We have observed how teachers build a common understanding of the standards and research and bring that understanding to life in their classrooms, schools, and districts. We have learned how educators used Curriculum Topic Study to align curriculum, plan instruction, and design assessments that are congruent with the recommendations that come from renowned researchers, practitioners, and policy advocates in the mathematics community. Curriculum Topic Study has moved national standards documents, as well as state standards and frameworks, beyond rhetoric and off the shelf and into the hands and minds of education professionals, who use them routinely and purposefully in their practice.

The *Mathematics Curriculum Topic Study's* tools and processes were originally designed for and piloted with the Maine, New Hampshire, and Vermont teachers participating in the National Science Foundation (NSF)-funded Northern New England Co-Mentoring Network. We wanted to provide a common focus, by topic, to examine implications across states, each of which has a different set of standards and frameworks. The *Mathematics Curriculum Topic Study* pilot was expanded to include the Maine Governor's Academy for Science and Mathematics Education Leadership, a State Mathematics and Science Partnership Project: Mathematics: Access and Teaching in High School (MATHS), and various other mathematics professional development programs offered through the Maine Mathematics and Science Alliance.

After we saw the initial impact of using the *Mathematics Curriculum Topic Study* process with educators, and being encouraged by our national professional development colleagues, we submitted a grant proposal to the NSF's Teacher Professional Continuum Program. This grant, *Curriculum Topic Study—A Systematic Approach to Utilizing National Standards and Cognitive Research*, was awarded to the Maine Mathematics and Science Alliance (MMSA) in May 2004. The MMSA and our partner, WestEd, are working with several professional development organizations, universities, teachers, and teacher educators over the next four years to develop Curriculum Topic Study into a set of science, mathematics, and professional development materials that will be available nationally. The Science Curriculum Topic Study book, *Science Curriculum Topic Study: Bridging the Gap Between Standards and Practice*, was published in February 2005, with the National Science Teachers Association as a copublisher. It has been heralded as "the missing link" by the science education community. In addition to a science and mathematics Curriculum Topic Study book, a *Facilitator's Guide to Using Curriculum Topic Study* will be

produced for science and mathematics professional developers and other facilitators of science and mathematics teaching and learning. There is also a companion Web site, www.curriculumtopicstudy.org. To learn more about this NSF-funded project, please visit the Web site.

NEED

Engaging mathematics educators in scholarly thought and purposeful use of standards and research on student learning is critical to improving student achievement. National and state standards and an expanding body of cognitive research have been available to teachers since the start of the standards-based wave of mathematics education reform. However, what has been missing is a systematic process not tied to any one project, which includes vetted readings and a set of tools to collectively and deliberately use them in practice.

In addition, the new “highly qualified teacher” requirements set by *No Child Left Behind* [NCLB] make this a critical time for teachers to have the tools and processes they need to continuously develop as professionals. In this NCLB age of standards and high-stakes accountability, it is not enough to be guided by a list of state standards or a topical alignment to ideas in mathematics.

To seek continuous improvement toward the goal of improving student learning in mathematics requires educators to understand the standards and use research when planning instruction. If your goal is to become a standards- and research-based educator, *Mathematics Curriculum Topic Study* provides the tools and processes needed to help you develop and draw on a common knowledge base in mathematics that can be used in a variety of curricular, instructional, and assessment applications.

AUDIENCE

The primary audience for this book is K–12 teachers, preservice teachers, preservice higher education faculty, professional developers, and mathematics education specialists. Sections of the book and the CTS process may also be useful to administrators, mathematicians working on curriculum development and education reform projects, parents (especially home-schoolers), standards revision committees, and assessment developers.

ORGANIZATION

The book is divided into six chapters. Chapter 1 provides an introduction to CTS and a description of the knowledge and research base that anchors the development and design. It includes a rationale for the importance of studying a curricular topic and why topics were chosen as the focus.

Chapter 2 takes the reader on a tour of a CTS guide. It describes the different features of a CTS guide and provides descriptions of the resources that are used in the process.

Chapter 3 describes the ways users of CTS engage in the process. It describes how to get started and defines the different purposes and outcomes a user must identify before beginning the process. It describes an instructional model for adult learning used in the process, based on the learning cycle. Individual and group use is addressed, and guiding questions are provided to accompany the resources.

Chapter 4 describes the various professional contexts in which CTS can be used. Embedded throughout this section are various examples and tools that teachers can use, such as improving content knowledge, curriculum, instruction, assessment, professional development, and leadership.

Chapter 5 describes how CTS has been used in actual practice. Real-life vignettes are described that illuminate the different ways people have used the information they derive from CTS.

Chapter 6 is the core of the book. It contains the 92 CTS guides, arranged in eight different categories. The study guides are reproducible for use with other teachers and professional developers.

The resources section contains additional resources that complement CTS, as well as some of the worksheet templates described in the chapters.

HOW TO USE THIS BOOK

The primary goal of this book is to provide mathematics educators with ready-to-use study guides that have a common format and include vetted sections in the content, standards, and research resources for reading about, studying, and reflecting on a mathematics topic. It is an essential companion to help you to use the standards to which you may already have access and to incorporate other professional mathematics education resources into your professional library. Educators who are familiar with the CTS approach can immediately access and use the guides in Chapter 6. Others will find it helpful to read Chapters 1 through 5 first to get a clear sense of how and why the guides were developed and of how they can be used effectively. This is not intended to be a “how to” book for professional developers who are interested in ways to facilitate use of CTS in their professional development settings. Such a book will be developed as part of the Curriculum Topic Study Project in 2006–2007. In the meantime, professional developers can use this book to learn about the process and practice using it. Higher education faculty can encourage their students to use this book to plan their lessons and gain a deeper understanding of standards- and research-based teaching and learning in preparation for the reality of teaching in schools and classrooms.

CTS provides tools and processes for use in improving teachers’ understanding of the topics they teach. Rather than providing a step-by-step guide to designing curriculum, instruction, and assessment, CTS promotes inquiry among educators in discovering new knowledge about teaching and learning mathematics. It is an involved process that may seem complex and time consuming at first. But then again, it is complex and time consuming because learning is complex and takes time. Superficial knowledge and dabbling around with fun activities are different from developing a deep, professional knowledge of mathematics. The latter makes the CTS investment in time and intellectual energy worth it. Like any complex endeavor, it gets easier, becomes internalized, and proceeds much more quickly after multiple

opportunities to practice and share strategies and learning with others. Our advice is to start small at first. Try one section and one resource in a CTS guide. Reflect on what you learned and try a new topic using two or more sections and additional resources in the CTS guide. Add a few more sections and resources, and before you know it, the training wheels will be off! You will be well on your way to cycling satisfactorily through a rich and invigorating process and body of knowledge that is sure to have an impact on you, your colleagues, and—most important of all—your students.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This book would not have been possible without the keen insights, time, and energy of so many wonderful teachers and colleagues with whom we work. We are deeply indebted to all of you for sharing your wisdom and experiences along with helping us think through, pilot, and field-test various components of the book.

The first set of mathematics CTS tools and processes was developed for pilot use by the mentor teachers in the Northern New England Co-Mentoring Network (NNECN) project. We want to thank each and every one of you, and your “mentees,” for your significant pioneering contribution to this project. We are especially grateful to Christine Downing and Connie Upshultz for their enthusiastic use of the material, helpful comments during pilot sessions, contributions to vignettes, and willingness to drive all the way to Maine to share their CTS classroom experiences. We also wish to thank the teacher leaders in the Maine Governor’s Academy for Science and Mathematics Education Leadership for providing a teacher leadership test bed. Thank you to Governor’s Academy Fellow Leslie Minton and Judy Parent for your specific feedback and contributions. A special thank you to Kathy Casparius for your help in refining the applications of CTS through your experienced eyes as an accomplished veteran teacher of high school mathematics.

Once again we are indebted to our mentors and role models, Susan Mundry and Kathy Stiles, for encouraging us to pursue this book. You inspire us with your knowledge of professional development and teacher change, and we will forever be grateful to you for helping us stretch and develop our skills and knowledge about standards- and research-based professional development. To all our National Academy for Science and Mathematics Education Leadership (NASMEL) colleagues, thank you for supporting and encouraging us!

To our MMSA colleagues, we give special thanks for finding the time in your busy schedules to support this work. Our deep appreciation goes to Carolyn Arline, who was always there to run ideas by, brainstorm topics, review guides, reflect on contexts and processes, and provide feedback on pilot sessions. Thank you to Lynn Farrin and Deb McIntyre for their extensive review of the material and their constant words of encouragement and support. We are indebted to Chad Dorsey, who effortlessly laid out and edited graphics for us. Thank you to Jill Rosenblum and Joyce Tugel for frequent check-ins and words of encouragement. A special warm thank you goes to Brianne Van Den Bossche, our cheerful, multi-talented project assistant, who competently managed a lot of behind-the-scenes work for us, as well as Victoria Abbott, who so nicely lent us a hand when needed. Our deep appreciation goes to Janre Mullins for her remarkable ability to help us

stay within our budget for developing a product of this magnitude. Thank you Nate Keeley, our web designer extraordinaire, who created and maintains our CTS Web site. We are extremely grateful to Dr. Francis Eberle, MMSA executive director and co-principal investigator for the CTS Project. Your quiet, intellectual demeanor combined with your support for innovation helps us continually shape and transform our work to meet quality standards our organization is proud to uphold. Your faith in our work is uplifting!

There are so many other professional colleagues who supported and contributed to this book in some way. In particular, we would like to thank Dr. Bill Nave, our “connoisseur of feedback.” His innate ability to see things that we may have missed during the field-testing helped to strengthen the tools and processes in this book. Thank you to Dan Hupp, Tad Johnston, Kim Schroeter, and Cynthia Hillman-Forbush for helping create the first 20 guides three years ago to use in the initial piloting. We gratefully acknowledge the work of Project 2061, led by Dr. JoEllen Roseman, and the National Council of Teachers of Mathematics, led by James L. Robillo. Your national leadership efforts and the extraordinary resources developed and produced by your organizations provide the foundation for our work. Many thanks go to Soren Wheeler, our freelance “science and mathematics literacy editor,” for your wonderful way with words and knack for shaping ideas.

We gratefully acknowledge our Corwin Press editor, Rachel Livsey, for supporting this second book in a series of three publications and helping us stay on course. We also wish to thank Diane Foster and Phyllis Cappello for ensuring that all the pieces of the book are intact and helping us navigate through each step in the publication process. We are deeply indebted to the National Science Foundation and all the program officers for the Teacher Professional Development Continuum Program. These talented and passionate individuals have done so much to tirelessly support mathematics and science education in the United States. In particular, we would like to give special thanks to Dr. Michael Haney, our program officer, and Dr. Carole Stearns for the dedicated and unwavering support you provide to those of us in the NSF TPC community. And a huge thank you to all the teachers who will use this book—it is through you that we can all make a difference in students’ lives.

And finally, as coauthors, we want to acknowledge each other. To Cheryl Rose, I will forever be grateful to you for agreeing to work on this project with me. My knowledge of mathematics education has increased exponentially through my interactions with you! To Page Keeley, thank you for recognizing my potential and bringing me along for this wonderful adventure!

The contributions of the following manuscript reviewers are gratefully acknowledged:

Anne Roede Giddings
Assistant Superintendent
Ansonia Public Schools
Ansonia, CT

Katherine E. Stiles
Senior Research Associate
WestEd
Tucson, AZ

Cindy Bryant
 Consultant
 Missouri Math Academy
 Salem, MO

Rhonda Naylor
 Mathematics Teacher and Coordinator
 Campus Middle School, Cherry Creek Schools
 Englewood, CO

Cathy Carroll
 Senior Project Director
 WestEd
 Redwood City, CA

Thomas Berger
 Mathematics Professor
 Colby College
 Waterville, ME

John Donovan
 Assistant Professor of Mathematics Education
 University of Maine
 Orono, ME

Fred Gross
 Mathematics Project Director
 Educational Development Center (EDC)
 Newton, MA

Tad Johnston
 State Mathematics Specialist
 Maine Department of Education
 Augusta, ME

Fred Prevost
 Mathematics Education Professor
 Plymouth State University
 Plymouth, NH

We also gratefully acknowledge the following Curriculum Topic Study pilot and field test participants:

Arline, Carolyn
 Maine Mathematics
 and Science Alliance
 Maine

Bailey, Ellen
 Windham Middle
 School
 Maine

Beede, Lisa
 Hussey Elementary
 School
 Maine

Austin, Ann
 China Primary
 School
 Maine

Baker, Marshalyn
 Messalonskee Middle
 School
 Maine

Belisle, Mary
 Greely Junior High
 School
 Maine

xxii MATHEMATICS CURRICULUM TOPIC STUDY

Belmonte, Linda Campbell High School New Hampshire	Cote, Jessica Hodgkins Middle School Maine	Floyd, Kathy Poland Regional High School Maine
Beloin, Frances Nashua North High School New Hampshire	Darling, Laurette China Primary School Maine	Foley, Sally Deer-Isle Stonington Elementary Maine
Brasseur, Linda North Country Union High School Vermont	Davidson, Joyce DR Gaul Middle School Maine	Fortier, Carole Castleton Village School Vermont
Bricchi, Tracy Merrimack Valley High School New Hampshire	DeMatties, Tom Castleton Village School Vermont	Fortin, Rhonda Westbrook High School Maine
Brown, Peggy Mt. Ararat Middle School Maine	Dodge, Lois China Primary School Maine	Fuller, Debbie Riverton Elementary School Maine
Burns, Kay McKelvie Middle School New Hampshire	Dokas, Lauren McKelvie Middle School New Hampshire	Gale, Amy Sutton Village School Vermont
Burr, Deanne Longfellow Elementary School Maine	Downing, Christine Pennichuck Jr. High New Hampshire	Gallagher, Bonnie Troy Howard Middle School Maine
Casparius, Kathy Cony High School Maine	Drake, Joelle Hussey School Maine	Gardner, Alicia Gilford High School New Hampshire
Coleman, Katie Searsport Middle School Maine	Ellis, Martha Maranacook Community High School Maine	George, Beckee Poland Regional High School Maine
Cook, Jim Norridgewock Central School Maine	Fancher, Karen Poland Regional High School Maine	Gorden, Nancy Dike-Newell Elementary School Maine
Corrado, Sue Longfellow Elementary School Maine	Feal-Staub, Kevin Wilmington High School Vermont	Gott, Lauree Veazie Community School Maine

Gregory, Lynnette
Sutton Village School
Vermont

Guidi, Linda
Elm Street Jr. High
New Hampshire

Haddock, Charlie
Windham Middle
School
Maine

Haney, Jane
G. Herbert Jewett
School
Maine

Harriman, Nancy
Dike-Newell Elementary
School
Maine

Henry, Renee
Farrington Elementary
School
Maine

Houston, Julie
Vassalboro Community
School
Maine

Hutchinson, Mary Jo
Delran Intermediate
School
New Jersey

James, Sharon
Winooski School District
Vermont

Jeffords, Jen
Windham Middle
School
Maine

Johnston, Rebecca
SeDoMocha Middle
School
Maine

King, Kathleen
Noble VI
Maine

Knudson, Ellen
Bismarck Public Schools
North Dakota

Koppen, Karen
Riverton Elementary
School
Maine

Kotros, Mary Ann
Riverton Elementary
School
Maine

Leavitt, Diane
Burlington
High School
Vermont

Leonard, Amanda
Windham Middle
School
Maine

Lucas, Sarah
TC Hamlin Elementary
School
Maine

Malloy, Janilyn
U-32 Community
School
Vermont

Marcouillier, Barbara
Williston Central
School
Vermont

Marriner, Anne
Riverton Elementary
School
Maine

Matzke, Jeanne
Gilford High School
New Hampshire

McCabe, Rosemarie
Elizabeth Public Schools
New Jersey

McCaffrey, Ken
Brattleboro Union
High School
Vermont

Minton, Leslie
Maine Mathematics
and Science Alliance
Maine

Moody, Lyndon John
Calais High School
Maine

Morgan, Sabrina
Howard Wood
Elementary
California

Murray, Kevin
Poland Regional
High School
Maine

Myers, Allen
Williston Central School
Vermont

Naylor, Rhonda
Campus Middle School
Colorado

Nolan, Kathleen
North Country
Union Jr. High School
Vermont

O'Meara, Maria
Mt. Ararat Middle School
Maine

Paine, Deborah
Pinkerton Academy
New Hampshire

Pandolfo, John
Spaulding High School
Vermont

xxiv MATHEMATICS CURRICULUM TOPIC STUDY

Parent, Judy Wiscasset High School Maine	Robinson, Jan Wheeling Public Schools Illinois	Towle, Shawn Falmouth Middle School Maine
Parker, Michael Farrington Elementary School Maine	Rogers, Heather Mt. Ararat Middle School Maine	Treworgy, Bob Mt. Ararat Middle School Maine
Pellerin, Cindy Windham Middle School Maine	Rossier, Kathy Williston Central School Vermont	Unger, Barbara Middlebury Union Middle School Vermont
Terry, Beth Mary Williams Elementary School Virginia	Rumsey, Ryan Windham Middle School Maine	Upschulte, Connie Pennichuck Jr. High School New Hampshire
Poland, Gretchen Poland Regional High School Maine	Saucier, Susan Dike-Newell Elementary School Maine	Valliere, Louise Stevens High School New Hampshire
Porter, Ingrid Noble VI Maine	Slaski, Pete Mt. Ararat Middle School Maine	Violette, Nancy Poland Regional High School Maine
Poulin, Sarah Windham Middle School Maine	Slattery, Katherine Telstar Middle School Maine	Walling, Jessica Hussey Elementary Maine
Powers, Gloria Houlton High School Maine	Southworth, Meghan Troy Howard Middle School Maine	Wells, Kristen Windham Middle School Maine
Reed, Laura Windham Regional Career Center Vermont	Tye, Elizabeth Magnolia Public Schools Arkansas	Woodcock, Sarah Windham Middle School Maine
Reichert, Jason Winooski School District Vermont	Spaulding, Darren Rahway Middle School New Jersey	Yindra, Liz Poland Regional High School Maine
Richburg, Virginia Randolph Union High School Vermont	Testa, Susan Nashua High School North New Hampshire	York, Dawn Medway Middle School Maine